




J. DEGUZMAN
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 191: ASIA AM 10 LEC 1: HIST-ASIAN AMERICNS
 No. of responses = 8
 Enrollment = 17
 Response Rate = 47.06%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.		n=8 av.=8.88 md=9 dev.=0.35
2.2)	Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.		n=8 av.=8.25 md=9 dev.=1.39
2.3)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.		n=8 av.=8.88 md=9 dev.=0.35
2.4)	Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.		n=8 av.=8.88 md=9 dev.=0.35
2.5)	Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.		n=8 av.=8.75 md=9 dev.=0.46
2.6)	Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.		n=8 av.=8.75 md=9 dev.=0.46
2.7)	Overall – Your overall rating of the course.		n=8 av.=8.63 md=9 dev.=0.52

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

3.1)	Subject interest before course		n=8 av.=2.13 md=2 dev.=0.35
3.2)	Subject interest after course		n=8 av.=2.75 md=3 dev.=0.46
3.3)	Mastery of course material		n=8 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.53
3.4)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)		n=8 av.=1.63 md=2 dev.=0.52
3.5)	Workload/pace was		n=8 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.6)	Texts, required readings		n=8 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.53

3.7)	Homework assignments		n=7 av.=2.57 md=3 dev.=0.53 ab.=1
3.8)	Graded materials, examinations		n=8 av.=2.75 md=3 dev.=0.46
3.9)	Lecture presentations		n=8 av.=2.75 md=3 dev.=0.46
3.10)	Class discussions		n=8 av.=2.38 md=2.5 dev.=0.74

4. Comments:

- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.
- Dr. deGuzman is one of the best instructors at UCLA. His lecture style is very interactive and interesting while he also obviously cares about his students. His familiarity with the material also allows him to be incredibly helpful to anybody in the class. Dr. deGuzman was personable and effective and I would definitely take a class taught by him again.
 - I loved Professor Deguzman's teaching. He is extremely passionate and personal in his storytelling, which makes it easy and enjoyable to listen. He was always available to help me out with my assignments or question about readings and the feedback was thorough and justified. I think the course assignments could have been more spread out (i.e. also include week 1 and 2) and that the readings could have been more included in the lectures. Overall I really enjoyed the course and I could not have wished for a better teacher in this class.
 - Overall, I enjoyed being in Dr. deGuzman's class. He is a fun, energetic, and engaging teacher. I like how he tries to diversify how we're learning the material through assorted videos and a guest speaker. It might be due to the fact that we had limited course time, but I wish that we had spent more time discussing and analyzing the readings. Not doing so made the class easier and not have so much pressure; however, I felt like there were topics and ideas presented in the readings that I would have liked to have expanded upon. Also, I sometimes wished that he would not go on so long of tangents, which ties into how it feels like he treats us like high school students, rather than college students.
 - Professor DeGuzman was very organized and made the 2hr lecture fun and engaging.
 - Some real strengths of JP is his lecture style, he speaks with lots of conviction and his lecture content, he really knows what's he's talking about. Also JP is very comical which is refreshing to have during such a stressful summer session. A weakness I recognized was how the rubrics has the deadlines set for the previous year that the course was taught, it was confusing sometimes.
 - • Scope of 6 week course: I think you covered sufficient amount of detail to get students thinking/engaged in the topic of Asian American history.
 - What worked well for you?
 - o I like the quiz incorporating the reading and the explanations/ discussions after the quiz. I think it was good because otherwise, we don't talk about the reading as much (although I can already kind of see how it's related to the lecture material).
 - o I think your jokes and anecdotes are gr9.
 - What could have been strengthened?
 - o I would have liked to see like a small portion each week about how whatever lecture material we had related to the world today. It seemed like a lot of the immigration material and racist mentality is


reflected in current World news so it would be cool to be able to discuss that in class too. I also think it would help students see how they can apply their historical knowledge in their everyday lives. Overall, 6/5 Yelp rating for the Prof. deGuzman. I really enjoyed this class and I appreciate the class environment you set up. I felt like there was no pressure to perform to a certain standard, so I could just have fun listening to your lectures and read about interesting topics.

Profile

Subunit: ASIA AM
 Name of the instructor: J. DEGUZMAN
 Name of the course: 191: ASIA AM 10 LEC 1: HIST-ASIAN AMERICNS
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

